



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/518,937	03/06/2000	Ajay Divakaran		8894
7590 12/12/2006			EXAMINER	
Patent Department Mitsubishi Electric Information Technology Center America Inc			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
201 Broadway			2176	
Cambridge, MA 02139			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assistant Communication	09/518,937	DIVAKARAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirg  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 S</u>	Centember 2006						
·= ·	, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	expans quajio, 1000 0.2. 11, 1.						
	4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937

Art Unit: 2176

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed 09/21/2006 to the original application filed 03/06/2000.

Claims 1-13 are currently pending in this application. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 1 is an independent claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yeo et al.** (US 5,821,945, issued 10/1998) in view of **Boetje et al.** (US 6,049,332, filed 10/1996).

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

#### As to claim 1:

Yeo teaches a method for ordering multimedia content (see the Abstract), comprising the steps of:

- segmenting the multimedia content to extract objects (col.2, lines 35-47);
- extracting (col.4, lines 62-col.5, line 2) and associating features of the objects to produce content entities (col.3, line 21-col.4, line 57), wherein the content entities are recursive data structures comprising features (col.9, lines 42-56), relations (col. 4, lines 30-35 and col.5, line 64-col.6, line 11), directed acyclic graphs (col. 3, line 30-col.4, line 58);
- coding the content entities to produce directed acyclic graphs of the content entities (col. 3, line 30-col.4, line 10), each directed acyclic graph representing a particular interpretation of the multimedia content (col. 3, line 25-col.5, line 52);
- measuring attributes of each content entity (col.6, lines 24-31);
- assigning the measured attributes to each corresponding content entity in the
  directed acyclic graphs (col.6,line 51-col.8, line 7) to order the content entities of
  the multimedia content (col.10, lines 19-32 & see figs. 4-5 and the associated
  text).

Yeo, however, does not specifically teach "containment sets and comparing the ordered content entities in a plurality of the directed acyclic graphs to determined similar interpretations of the multimedia content."

Art Unit: 2176

Boetje teaches containment sets (col. 19, line 7-col. 20, line 64; and see figs. 9-10b and the associated text) and comparing the ordered content entities in a plurality of the directed acyclic graphs to determined similar interpretations of the multimedia content (col. 33, line 40-col. 34, line 62; and see figs. 22a-b and the associated text).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yeo with Boetje because Boetje's teaching would have provided the capability for identifying both visual and temporal relationships to allow the user to recognize the underlying story structure and navigate to the desired point in the video.

#### As to claim 2:

Yeo teaches the measured attributes include intensity attributes (col.7, line 35-col.8, line 8).

#### As to claim 3:

Yeo teaches the measure attributes include direction attributes (col.7, lines 13-19).

#### As to claim 4:

Yeo teaches the measured attributes include spatial attributes and the order is spatial (col.9, line 42-col.10, line 7).

Art Unit: 2176

### As to claim 5:

Yeo teaches the measured attributes include temporal attributes and the order is temporal (col. 5, line 65-col. 6, line 21 and col.9, line 42-col.10, line 7).

#### As to claim 6:

Yeo teaches the measured attributes are arranged in an increasing rank order (col.5, line 65-col.6, line 11 and col.10, lines 32).

#### As to claim 7:

Yeo teaches the measured attributes are arranged in an decreasing rank order (col.5, line 65-col.6, line 11 and col.10, lines 32).

#### As to claim 8:

Yeo teaches traversing the multimedia content according to the directed acyclic graph (col.3, line 24-col.4, line 10) and the measured attributes assigned to the content entities (col.6, line 24-col.8, line 7).

#### As to claim 9:

Yeo teaches summarizing the multimedia content according to the directed acyclic graph (col.9, lines 19-42) and the measured attributes assigned to the content entities (col.6, line 24-col.8, line 7).

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937

Art Unit: 2176

Page 6

As to claim 10:

Yeo teaches the multimedia content is a three dimensional video sequence (col.7, lines

12-19).

As to claim 11:

Yeo teaches nodes of the directed acyclic graphs represent the content entities and edges

represent breaks in the segmentation, and the measured attributes are associated with the

corresponding edges (col.5, lines 37-52).

As to claim 12:

Yeo teaches at least one secondary content entity is associated with a particular content

entity, and wherein the secondary content entity is selected during the traversing (col.2,

lines 35-48 and col.6, lines 1-21).

As to claim 13:

Yeo teaches a summary of the multimedia is a selected permutation of the content

entities according to the associated ranks (col.9, lines 19-42).

## **Response to Arguments**

- 4. Applicants' arguments filed 09/21/2006 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.
  - a. Applicant argues that Yeo never orders different shots in a related sequence of shot using a DAG to provide a high-level interpretation of what is going on at a semantic level [Remarks, 7].

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Yeo does teach the use of directed graphs to order video segments (e.g., combines visual and temporal information to capture the important relations within a scene and between scenes in a video...Video shots are first identified and a collection of key frames is used to represent each video segment...A platform is built on which the video is presented as directed graphs to the user, with each category of video shots represented by a node and each edge denoting a temporal relationship between categories) [see the Abstract].

b. Applicant further argues that Yeo does not describe the comparison of ordered content entities in a plurality of the directed acyclic graphs to determine a similarity of interpretations of the multimedia content [Remarks, page 8].

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937 Page 8

Art Unit: 2176

In response, the Examiner respectfully submits that Applicant's arguments are substantially directed to the amended subject matter. The amended subject matter is addressed above with respect to the discussion of independent claim 1.

# Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

•	Norton et al.	U.S. Patent No. 5,790,416	issued: Aug. 4, 1998
•	Qian et al.	U.S. Patent No. 5,838,830	issued: Nov. 17, 1998
•	Harel	U.S. Patent No. 5,873,081	issued: Feb. 16, 1999
•	Qian et al.	U.S. Patent No. 6,002,803	issued: Dec. 14, 1999
•	Eleftheriadis et al.	US. Patent No. 6,079,566	issued: Jun. 27, 2000
•	Sezan et al.	U.S. Patent No. 6,236,395	issued: May 22, 2001
•	Lennon et al.	U.S. Patent No. 6,516,090	issued: Feb. 4, 2003

- Y. Wang et al., "Multimedia Content Classification Using Motion and Audio Information," IEEE, June 9-12,1997, Hong Kong, pp. 1488-1491.
- T. Zhang et al., "Hierarchical Classification of Audio Data for Archiving and Retrieving," IEEE, March 15-19, 1999, pp. 3001-3004.

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937 Page 9

Art Unit: 2176

• R. Lienhart et al., "Scene Determination Based on Video and Audio Features," IEEE, June 7-11, 1999, pp. 685-690.

- E. Wold et al., "Content-Based Classification, Search, and Retrieval of Audio," IEEE, Fall 1999, pp. 27-36.
- J.M. Gauch et al., "Real Time Video Scene Detection and Classification," Information Processing and Management, May 1999, pp. 381-400.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### **Contact information**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am – 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached at (571) 272-4136.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for patents P O Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Maikhanh Nguyen Examiner, AU 2176

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 10